



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,860	07/28/2003	Joseph S. Bieganeck	249.303	3850
28785	7590	10/11/2005	EXAMINER	
JOHN R LEY, LCC 5299 DTC BLVD, SUITE 610 GREENWOOD VILLAGE, CO 80111			SAFAVI, MICHAEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/628,860

Applicant(s)

BIEGANEK ET AL.

Examiner

M. Safavi

Art Unit

3673

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) M. Safavi.

(3) Thomas Hetzel.

(2) John Ley.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 October 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 11, and 22.

Identification of prior art discussed: Navach et al. '737.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



**MICHAEL SAFAVI
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 354**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Ley had argued the deficiency of Navach et al. in meeting the limitations of the instant claims. Examiner had indicated that Navach et al. serve to read upon the language of the claims as broadly presented. The so called "lever-like mechanical advantage", for example, is/would be met by the Navach et al. section that rises from the ischial area 74 towards the area designated as 26 or 36 as seen in Fig. 2. Otherwise, Examiner had indicated to Mr. Ley that the elements of Applicants' disclosed cushion should be specifically and distinctly set forth in the claim language. Language directed to desired effect or presumed consequence would not serve to establish a distinction over the prior art. .